

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TERION COLLINS,

Plaintiff,

v.

HEATHER SHIRLEY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:23-cv-00483 CDB (PC)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE IN WRITING
WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE
IMPOSED FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE
TO FILE AN OPPOSITION OR
STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION**

14-DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff Terion Collins is proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Court issued its Discovery and Scheduling Order on January 11, 2024. (Doc. 35.)

On November 14, 2024, Defendants filed an Ex Parte Application for an Extension of Deadline to File a Dispositive Motion. (Doc. 41.) The Court granted the request, extending the deadline for filing a dispositive motion to January 20, 2025. (Doc. 42.)

On January 17, 2025, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. (Doc. 44.) Defendants' motion included a *Rand*¹ warning (Doc. 44-9), specifically addressing the requirements concerning an opposition to a motion for summary judgment. Despite the passage of

¹ *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998).

more than 21 days, Plaintiff has failed to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motion.

II. DISCUSSION

Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure concerns summary judgment. It provides, in relevant part:

Failure to Properly Support or Address a Fact. If a party fails to properly support an assertion of fact or fails to properly address another party's assertion of fact as required by Rule 56(c), the court may:

- (1) give an opportunity to properly support or address the fact;
- (2) consider the fact undisputed for purposes of the motion;
- (3) grant summary judgment if the motion and supporting materials—including the facts considered undisputed—show that the movant is entitled to it; or
- (4) issue any other appropriate order.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e). This Court's Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, provide that a "[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." *See* Local Rule 110.

Further, Local Rule 230 states:

Opposition, if any to the granting of a motion shall be served and filed by the responding party not more than twenty-one (21) days after the date of service of the motion. A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in question. Failure of the responding party to file an opposition or statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

Local Rule 230(l). Therefore, Plaintiff's opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendants' pending motion for summary judgment was due on or before February 7, 2025, plus time for mailing. Plaintiff has failed to timely file an opposition or statement of non-opposition, and the time to do so has now passed.

